

Constraining nPDFs with inclusive pions and direct photons at forward rapidities in p+Pb collisions at the LHC

I. Helenius^{a,b}, K. J. Eskola^{a,b}, H. Paukkunen^{a,b}

^a*Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, FI-40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland*

^b*Helsinki Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 64, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland*

Abstract

In this talk, we present NLO pQCD predictions for inclusive pion and direct photon nuclear modifications in p+Pb collisions at mid- and forward rapidities at the LHC. In addition to the minimum bias predictions, we also address the centrality dependence with spatially dependent nuclear PDFs. To understand which regions of the nuclear momentum fraction x_2 these observables predominantly probe, we present also the underlying x_2 distributions at different rapidities. We are led to conclude that the isolated photons at forward rapidities are more sensitive to the small- x_2 dynamics than the inclusive pions.

Keywords: nuclear PDFs, centrality dependence, hard process, small x

1. Introduction

In the collinear factorization framework [1, 2] the cross section to produce a hard parton k in a p+Pb collision can be calculated as

$$d\sigma^{p+Pb \rightarrow k+X} = \sum_{i,j,X'} f_i^p(x_1, Q^2) \otimes f_j^{Pb}(x_2, Q^2) \otimes d\hat{\sigma}^{ij \rightarrow k+X'} + O(1/Q^2), \quad (1)$$

where the $f_i^p(x_1, Q^2)$ ($f_j^{Pb}(x_2, Q^2)$) are the parton distribution functions (PDFs) of the proton (lead nucleus) and $d\hat{\sigma}^{ij \rightarrow k+X'}$ can be calculated at a given order of perturbative QCD (pQCD). This is valid when $Q^2 \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2$ so that the higher-twist corrections are small. The PDFs are assumed to be universal and are usually extracted from experimental data in global analyses. While there are plenty of data to constrain the free proton PDFs [3], the nuclear PDFs (nPDFs) are presently not well constrained and especially the uncertainties of the gluons are large at small x [4]. The hope is that the forthcoming LHC data from p+Pb collisions could be used to bring the initial state nuclear modifications under better control and thereby also clarify their role in Pb+Pb measurements.

The global nPDF fits have so far considered only minimum bias nuclear collisions and it has not been possible to consistently use them in studies concerning the systematics of the nuclear collisions in different centrality classes. In Ref. [5] we developed a framework for the spatial dependence of the nPDFs, building on the A -dependence of the minimum bias nPDFs. A spatially dependent version of the EPS09 global fit [6], EPS09s, was also published. However, it has recently turned out that the experimental centrality determinations in p+A collisions appear to impose significant, unwanted biases to the measured hard-process cross sections, the centrality effects being way larger than could have been envisaged from a sensible spatial dependence of the nPDFs. Our calculations here should therefore be seen as a baseline to such experimental findings.

Email address: ilkka.helenius@jyu.fi (I. Helenius)

With this short introduction, we now present our NLO pQCD predictions for the inclusive π^0 and direct photon nuclear modification ratios, discussing exactly which x_2 values are probed at different rapidities. The aim is to find whether one of these observables has a preference over the other in a sense that it would be more sensitive to small- x_2 gluons with more prominent nPDF-based centrality dependence.

2. Inclusive pion production

The inclusive hadronic cross sections are calculated by convoluting the hard-parton spectra with the non-perturbative fragmentation functions (FFs). For the neutral pions this can be written as

$$d\sigma_{\text{pPb}}^{\pi^0+X} = \sum_k d\sigma_{\text{pPb}}^{k+X} \otimes D_{\pi^0/k}(z, Q_F^2), \quad (2)$$

where $D_{\pi^0/k}(z, Q_F^2)$ is the parton-to-pion FF and z is the fraction of the parent parton momentum carried by the pion. Due to this convolution, the transverse momentum of the hadron (p_T) does not have direct correspondence to the partonic kinematics and thus the cross section gets contributions from a wide range of x_2 even with fixed p_T and rapidity y . The x_2 distributions for rapidities $y = 0$, $y = 2$, and $y = 4$ with $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.0$ TeV and $p_T = 5.0$ GeV/c are plotted in Figure 1. The NLO calculations are done with INCNLO-code [7–9] using the CTEQ6.6M proton PDFs [10] with the EPS09 nuclear modifications [6] and DSS FFs [11]. The figure shows that even at $y = 4$ there is a large contribution from $x_2 > 0.01$ which corresponds to the antishadowing region in EPS09. To see how the differences in the x_2 distributions at different rapidities map to the p+Pb π^0 -production, the nuclear modification ratio $R_{\text{pPb}}^{\pi^0}$ is plotted in Figure 2 for $y = 0$ (originally published in Ref. [5]) and $y = 4$. As the pions at forward rapidities probe smaller x_2 than the midrapidity pions, the suppression is correspondingly stronger due to the increasingly stronger shadowing in EPS09 at small x_2 . Also the uncertainties are larger at the forward direction which reflects the lack of constraints for the gluon nPDFs.




Figure 1. The x_2 distribution for π^0 production in p+Pb collisions with $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.0$ TeV at $p_T = 5$ GeV/c and $y = 0$ (green dashed), $y = 2$ (blue dot-dashed), and $y = 4$ (red solid).




Figure 2. The nuclear modification ratio $R_{\text{pPb}}^{\pi^0}$ at $y = 0$ (green dashed) and $y = 4$ (red solid) using EPS09 NLO nPDFs. The blue uncertainty band for $y = 4$ and the green dotted lines for $y = 0$ are calculated from the EPS09 error sets.

3. Direct photon production

The direct photons that can be measured in the experiments can originate from two different process: either they are produced directly in the hard partonic scattering (prompt photons) or they are produced similarly as high- p_T hadrons by a fragmentation process. The prompt photons are more directly related to partonic kinematics and are thus more sensitive to small- x_2 values. However, the prompt and fragmentation components cannot be separated from each other in the measurements and thus both have to be included in the inclusive photon calculations. The net x_2 sensitivity of direct photons is plotted in Figure 3 for p+Pb collisions with $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.8$ TeV for $5 < p_T < 20$ GeV/c at pseudorapidity ranges $|\eta| < 1$ and $3 < \eta < 5$. Although it has been shown [12] that, at the LHC energies, the fragmentation component is dominant at low p_T , the presence of the prompt component makes the cross section somewhat more sensitive to smaller x_2 than the pions at corresponding rapidities above. The fragmentation component yields a similar tail towards

higher x_2 values as for hadronic observables. Even though the fragmentation component cannot be completely excluded, it can be suppressed by introducing an isolation cut for the direct photons. Here we have studied an isolation criterion which rejects photons that are accompanied by more than 2 GeV of hadronic energy inside a cone of radius 0.4 around the photon. As can be seen from Figure 3, the isolation criterion indeed cuts off part of the tail at the high- x_2 region making the isolated photon cross section more sensitive to small x_2 . The x_2 distributions are calculated here using the JETPHOX code [13–15] and for the fragmentation component the BFGII parton-to-photon FFs [16] are used, otherwise the setup is the same as above.

Figure 4 shows the nuclear modification factor for inclusive photons at mid- and forward rapidities. Despite the increased small- x_2 sensitivity, the suppression at forward rapidities is very similar to that of pions. This is mainly due to the rather mild x_2 dependence of the EPS09 nPDFs at small x_2 , and for the rapid DGLAP evolution which tends to smooth out all x_2 -dependent effects. At mid-rapidity the suppression is slightly stronger than the one for pions and persists up to higher p_T values. Interestingly this is not only due to the smaller x_2 values probed by direct photons but also due to the fact that the direct photons are affected by the quark PDFs in which the shadowing is actually stronger than for gluons (and the antishadowing is missing) at the relevant x values in EPS09. The isolated photons are not plotted here but the resulting R_{pPb} is very close to the inclusive photon R_{pPb} at forward rapidities due to the reasons mentioned above [17]. The uncertainties are again larger at forward rapidities which calls for further constraints from measurements.




Figure 3. The x_2 distribution for direct photons in p+Pb collisions with $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.8$ TeV for $5 < p_T < 20$ GeV/c at $|\eta| < 1$ (green dashed) and $3 < \eta < 5$ (red solid), and for isolated photons at $3 < \eta < 5$ (blue dot-dashed).




Figure 4. The nuclear modification ratio R_{pPb}^{γ} for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.0$ TeV at $y = 0$ (green dashed), published in Ref. [18], and for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.8$ TeV at $y = 4.5$ (red solid) using EPS09 NLO nPDFs. The blue uncertainty band for $\eta = 4.5$ and the green dotted lines for $\eta = 0$ are calculated from the EPS09 error sets.

The centrality dependence from EPS09s has already been observed to be rather mild at mid-rapidity [5, 18] but as the nuclear effects are stronger at forward rapidities, also the centrality dependence should be more pronounced there. To quantify this, the R_{pPb} for inclusive direct photon production is plotted in Figure 5 for centrality classes 0 – 20 %, 20 – 40 %, 40 – 60 %, and 60 – 80 % at $\eta = 4.5$. The centrality classes are defined in terms of impact parameters using the Optical Glauber model [5]. The minimum bias result from Figure 4 is plotted to each panel for comparison. Also here the centrality dependence seems to be rather mild — the 10 % difference between the most central and the most peripheral could, perhaps, be eventually observed.

4. Conclusions

Using NLO pQCD tools, we have shown that at forward rapidities the inclusive direct photons are more sensitive to small- x_2 physics than the inclusive hadrons at the same kinematical region, and that the sensitivity can be further improved by imposing an isolation cut for the direct photons. This suggests that the isolated photons at large rapidity would be a good observable to test the universality of the nPDFs at small x_2 and also to study their centrality dependence.

Acknowledgments

I.H. is supported by the Magnus Ehrnrooth foundation. The Academy of Finland, Project No. 133005, is acknowledged.




Figure 5. The nuclear modification ratio R_{pPb}^{γ} for inclusive direct photon production in p+Pb collisions with $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.8$ TeV at $\eta = 4.5$ for different centrality classes (solid green) with EPS09s nPDFs and BFGII parton-to-photon FFs. The uncertainty bands are from EPS09s error sets. Also the minimum bias result (black dashed) from Figure 4 is plotted to each panel for comparison.

References

- [1] J. C. Collins, D. E. Soper, G. F. Sterman, Factorization of Hard Processes in QCD, *Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys.* 5 (1988) 1–91. [arXiv:hep-ph/0409313](#).
- [2] R. Brock, et al., Handbook of perturbative QCD: Version 1.0, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 67 (1995) 157–248. [doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.67.157](#).
- [3] S. Forte, G. Watt, Progress in the Determination of the Partonic Structure of the Proton, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* 63 (2013) 291–328. [arXiv:1301.6754](#), [doi:10.1146/annurev-nucl-102212-170607](#).
- [4] H. Paukkunen, Nuclear PDFs in the beginning of the LHC era, [arXiv:1401.2345](#).
- [5] I. Helenius, K. J. Eskola, H. Honkanen, C. A. Salgado, Impact-parameter dependent nuclear parton distribution functions: EPS09s and EKS98s and their applications in nuclear hard processes, *JHEP* 1207 (2012) 073. [arXiv:1205.5359](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP07\(2012\)073](#).
- [6] K. J. Eskola, H. Paukkunen, C. A. Salgado, EPS09 - a New Generation of NLO and LO Nuclear Parton Distribution Functions, *JHEP* 04 (2009) 065. [arXiv:0902.4154](#), [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/065](#).
- [7] http://lapth.in2p3.fr/PHOX_FAMILY/readme_inc.html.
- [8] F. Aversa, P. Chiappetta, M. Greco, J. P. Guillet, QCD Corrections to Parton-Parton Scattering Processes, *Nucl. Phys.* B327 (1989) 105. [doi:10.1016/0550-3213\(89\)90288-5](#).
- [9] P. Aurenche, R. Baier, M. Fontannaz, D. Schiff, Prompt Photon Production at Large p(T) Scheme Invariant QCD Predictions and Comparison with Experiment, *Nucl. Phys.* B297 (1988) 661. [doi:10.1016/0550-3213\(88\)90553-6](#).
- [10] P. M. Nadolsky, H.-L. Lai, Q.-H. Cao, J. Huston, J. Pumplin, et al., Implications of CTEQ global analysis for collider observables, *Phys. Rev.* D78 (2008) 013004. [arXiv:0802.0007](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.013004](#).
- [11] D. de Florian, R. Sassot, M. Stratmann, Global analysis of fragmentation functions for pions and kaons and their uncertainties, *Phys. Rev.* D75 (2007) 114010. [arXiv:hep-ph/0703242](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.75.114010](#).
- [12] D. d’Enterria, J. Rojo, Quantitative constraints on the gluon distribution function in the proton from collider isolated-photon data, *Nucl. Phys.* B860 (2012) 311–338. [arXiv:1202.1762](#), [doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.03.003](#).
- [13] http://lapth.cnrs.fr/PHOX_FAMILY/jetphox.html.
- [14] S. Catani, M. Fontannaz, J. Guillet, E. Pilon, Cross-section of isolated prompt photons in hadron hadron collisions, *JHEP* 0205 (2002) 028. [arXiv:hep-ph/0204023](#), [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/05/028](#).
- [15] P. Aurenche, M. Fontannaz, J.-P. Guillet, E. Pilon, M. Werlen, A New critical study of photon production in hadronic collisions, *Phys. Rev.* D73 (2006) 094007. [arXiv:hep-ph/0602133](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.094007](#).
- [16] L. Bourhis, M. Fontannaz, J. Guillet, Quarks and gluon fragmentation functions into photons, *Eur. Phys. J.* C2 (1998) 529–537. [arXiv:hep-ph/9704447](#), [doi:10.1007/s100520050158](#).
- [17] I. Helenius, H. Paukkunen, K. J. Eskola, Work in progress.
- [18] I. Helenius, K. J. Eskola, H. Paukkunen, Centrality dependence of inclusive prompt photon production in d+Au, Au+Au, p+Pb, and Pb+Pb collisions, *JHEP* 1305 (2013) 030. [arXiv:1302.5580](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP05\(2013\)030](#).